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#### Abstract

In this, I present a method of quickly counting the number of lattice points below a quadratic of the form $y=\frac{a}{q} x^{2}$. In particular, I show that knowing the number of lattice points in the interval $[0, q-1]$, then we have a closed form for the number of lattice points in any interval $[h q,(h+1) q-1]$. This method was inspired by the collaborative Polymath4 Finding Primes Project [1], and in particular the guidance of Dr. Croot from Georgia Tech.


## 1. Intro

Suppose we have the quadratic $f(x)=\frac{p}{q} x^{2}$. In short, we seperate the lattice points into regions and find a relationship between the number of lattice points in one region with the number of lattice points in other regions. Unfortunately, the width of each region is $q$, so that this does not always guarantee much time-savings.

This came up while considering

$$
\begin{equation*}
\sum_{d \leq x \leq m}\left\lfloor\frac{N}{x}\right\rfloor \tag{1}
\end{equation*}
$$

In particular, suppose we write $x=d+n$, so that we have $\left\lfloor\frac{N}{d+n}\right\rfloor$. Then, expanding $\frac{N}{d+n}$ like $\frac{1}{x}$, we see that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{N}{d+n}=\frac{N}{d}-\frac{N}{d^{2}}(n-d)+O\left(\frac{N}{d^{3}} \cdot(n-d)^{2}\right) \tag{2}
\end{equation*}
$$

And correspondingly, we have that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\sum\left\lfloor\frac{N}{d+n}\right\rfloor=\sum\left\lfloor\frac{N}{d}-\frac{N}{d^{2}}(n-d)+O\left(\frac{N}{d^{3}} \cdot(n-d)^{2}\right)\right\rfloor \tag{3}
\end{equation*}
$$

Now, I make a great, largely unfounded leap. This is almost like a quadratic, so what if it were? And then, what if that quadratic were tremendously simple, with no constant nor linear term, and with the only remaining term having a rational coefficient? Then what could we do?

## 2. The Method

We want to find the number of lattice points under the quadratic $y=\frac{a}{q} x^{2}$ in some interval. First, note that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left\lfloor\frac{a}{q}(x+q)^{2}\right\rfloor=\left\lfloor\frac{a}{q}\left(x^{2}+2 x q+q^{2}\right)\right\rfloor=\left\lfloor\frac{a}{q} x^{2}\right\rfloor+2 a x+a q \tag{4}
\end{equation*}
$$

Then we can sum over an interval of length q , and we'll get a relationship with the next interval of length q. In particular, this means that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\sum_{x=0}^{q-1}\left\lfloor\frac{a}{q} x^{2}\right\rfloor=\sum_{x=q}^{2 q-1}\left\lfloor\frac{a}{q} x^{2}\right\rfloor-\sum_{x=0}^{q-1}(2 a x+a q) \tag{5}
\end{equation*}
$$

Now I adopt the notation $S_{a, b}:=\sum_{x=a}^{b}\left\lfloor\frac{a}{q} x^{2}\right\rfloor$, so that we can rewrite equation 5 as

$$
S_{0, q-1}=S_{q, 2 q-1}-\sum_{0}^{q-1}(2 a x+a q)
$$

Of course, we quickly see that we can write the right sum in closed form. So we get

$$
\begin{equation*}
S_{0, q-1}=S_{q, 2 q-1}-a(q-1)(q)-a q^{2} \tag{6}
\end{equation*}
$$

We can extend this by noting that $\frac{a}{q}(x+h q)^{2}=\frac{a}{q} x^{2}+2 a h x+a h q$, so that

$$
\begin{equation*}
S_{0, q-1}=S_{h q,(h+1) q-1}-\sum_{0}^{q-1}(2 a h x+a h q) \tag{7}
\end{equation*}
$$

Extending to multiple intervals at once, we get

$$
\begin{align*}
\lambda S_{0, q-1} & =\sum_{h=1}^{\lambda}\left(S_{h q,(h+1) q-1}-h \sum_{0}^{q-1}(2 a x+a q)\right)= \\
& =S_{q,(\lambda+1) q-1}-\sum_{h=1}^{\lambda} h\left(\sum_{0}^{q-1}(2 a x+a q)\right)= \\
& =S_{q,(\lambda+1) q-1}-\frac{\lambda(\lambda+1)}{2}\left[a q(q+1)+a q^{2}\right] \tag{8}
\end{align*}
$$

So, in short, if we know the number of lattice points under the parabola on the interval $[0, q-1]$, then we know in $O(1)$ time the number of lattice points under the parabola on an interval $[0,(\lambda+1) q-1]$.

Unfortunately, when I have tried to take this method back to the Polymath4type problem, I haven't yet been able to reign in the error terms. But I suspect that there is more to be done using this method.
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